

I'm not a robot





































There are many "named" concussion tests. Most concussion tests are a series of questionnaires or symptom checklists. All have their own scoring system. Some concussion tests are administered by athletic trainers, coaches or sports medicine physicians. Other tests are self-reported tests you can fill in on your own. Still, others are one of the tools used by healthcare providers, such as neurologists. Some of the named concussion tests include: Concussion assessment tool: Warning: Assessment tools for concussions are not a substitute for medical evaluation. No youth athlete (under the age of 18 years) who has taken a blow to their head or has a suspected concussion should ever return to sport the same day. They should be removed immediately until a medical provider feels it's safe for them to resume their sport. In all 50 states, it goes against state law for an athlete to return to a practice/game without first being assessed by a medical professional for clearance. SAC test: People use the standardized assessment of concussion (SAC) test on the sidelines and at the emergency room test to assess the immediate mental status of athletes. This test checks the athlete's orientation, immediate memory, concentration and delayed memory. SAC takes about five minutes to complete. Test questions include: Stating the date, month, year, day of the week and current time. Memorizing a list of words then recalling them. Repeating a sequence of numbers backward. Saying the months of the year in reverse order. SCAT SSCAT stands for Sports Concussion Assessment Tool 5. It's a concussion evaluation tool used for people 13 years and older. It includes the SAC test and much more — a neck evaluation and balance assessment, yes/no symptom checklist and other information on injury and conditions associated with concussion. The SCAT5 takes about 15 to 20 minutes to complete. There's also a pediatric version for children ages 6 to 12. MACE/MACE stands for Military Acute Concussion Evaluation. This test collects information about the event, concussion signs and symptoms and includes a version of the SAC test information. King-Devick test: This athlete concussion test begins with a coach or trainer asking each athlete to read numbers on three index cards. Each card has a series of random numbers spaced unequally apart across eight lines. This test is done before the athletic season and is timed. If the athlete takes a blow to their head, the athlete goes to the sideline and retakes the test. If the athlete completes the test five seconds or slower than the first time they took the test, they may have a concussion. This test has also been called the 1-minute concussion test or the 2-minute concussion test. Balance test: BESS/BESS stands for Balance Error Scoring System. This test measures your balance. It consists of six stances: Three on a firm surface. The same three on an unstable surface like medium-density foam. Your eyes are closed and your hands are on your hips during this test. The stance is with your feet shoulder-width apart, one foot in front of the other and single leg stand on your non-dominant leg. All stances need to be held for 20 seconds. Symptom scales: ACE/Healthcare providers use the acute concussion evaluation (ACE) tool. It includes questions about the presence of concussion characteristics, a checklist of 22 concussion symptoms and risk factors that might lengthen recovery. The form collects specific information, including: Concussion cause. Early signs of concussion. Memory issues. Loss of consciousness. Concussion history. Headache history. Development history (including any learning disabilities, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder). Psychiatric history (anxiety, depression, sleep disorder). Emergency symptoms (seizures, worsening headache, slurred speech, weakness/numbness). Diagnosis and follow-up plan. PCSS test: The post-concussion symptom scale (PCSS) is a self-reported test in which you rank 21 symptoms by severity (none to severe) at baseline and at various time points. Symptoms cover physical, thinking, sleep and emotional functioning. Computerized neurocognitive tests: ImPACT: The immediate post-concussion assessment and cognitive test is a computerized test for athletes 12 years and up. The test has three sections. The athlete fills out their history (of sports participation, drug and alcohol use, learning disabilities and ADHD, other neurologic disorders and previous concussion). They complete a checklist of 22 symptoms. They complete modules that test visual and verbal memory, reaction time, number sequencing ability, ability to learn and their brain's visual processing speed. This testing platform now has a pediatric version as well as a quick test for diagnostic testing within an emergency room or urgent care setting. C3 Logix (proprietary test) (Cleveland Clinic has developed its own concussion mobile application for medical professionals to assess and manage concussions. After baseline data is collected, the C3 app is used to document an injury. Perform an initial assessment on the field. Measure the individual's impairment. Assist in managing symptoms and recovery. Help determine when they've recovered and can return to participation. The C3 app compares assessments after injury to athlete baseline and normative data of balance, information processing, reaction time, sequencing, coordination and vision. Although these tests are useful to identify a possible concussion, you should still see your healthcare provider (if the test wasn't administered by a medical professional). Your healthcare provider or neurology team will also do a complete exam including balance and vision checks. They may also order imaging tests, including MRI or CT scans, to check for bruising or bleeding in your brain. There's also a blood test called the brain trauma indicator. This blood test measures specific proteins in blood released after mild traumatic brain injury. The presence of these proteins may indicate a brain bleed. What are baseline concussion tests and sideline concussion tests? These types of concussion tests are mostly performed on student athletes. Student athletes who play in contact sports usually undergo a baseline concussion test before the start of their season. This questionnaire measures normal brain function in areas including memory, speed of thinking and attention. Computerized testing is often similar to playing a video game. If the athlete experiences a head injury any time during the season, they are removed from play and retested. The results of the current concussion test are compared to the pre-season results. Another simple tool is a sideline concussion evaluation. This test checks brain function in concussion-suspected athletes. Typical questions include: Naming the opponent and stating the score (short-term memory). Saying your name and date of birth (long-term memory). Naming the months of the year in reverse order (a complex task). Remember, no player who has taken a blow to their head or has a suspected concussion should ever return to the game. These tests provide some information. If a physician or sports medicine specialist is not on staff at school, players should be referred to their healthcare provider for further follow-up. Your healthcare provider will perform a complete physical exam and some tests or may refer you to a sports medicine specialist or neurologist for additional tests and imaging tests if needed. Is there a quick concussion test that can be done at home? First, know that only medical professionals can examine you or your loved one and order any needed tests to diagnose a concussion. However, in some instances, you can ask a few simple questions and gather some information to share with the healthcare provider. For example, you might be with your parent when they fall and bump their head or with your child when they fall off their bike and hit their head. Collecting information immediately after a fall will not only be helpful, but you'll first see your provider, but also while you're caring for your loved one after they return home from their examination. If there are any changes in the information, call your loved one's healthcare provider right away. Questions to ask and notes to share with your healthcare provider include: Ask your loved one to state their name, where they are, the time and date, and what just happened. Ask your loved one to spell the word "world" backward. Ask your loved one if they have a headache, feel dizzy or nauseous. Ask your loved one to follow your finger movements with both of their eyes. Draw a large "x" in front of their eyes. Are both of their eyes following your finger motion? Ask your loved one if they're experiencing weakness or numbness and tingling anywhere in their body. Notice if your loved one's speech is normal or slurred. Notice if your loved one's pupils are the same size or if one is larger than the other. Notice if your loved one is sensitive to sound or light. Notice any changes in your loved one's behavior. Are they getting more restless, agitated or confused? Again, never try to diagnose a concussion on your own. No head injury is too small. All head injuries should be checked by a medical professional. Your loved one's healthcare provider will want to conduct their own tests — possibly including brain imaging studies — before making a diagnosis. Question: How can a concussion be identified? Answer: Watching for different types of signs or symptoms after a student gets a hit to the head or body. Question: How can you help prevent concussions? Answer: Talking to students about ways to lower the chance for hits to the head. Question: What is the first thing you should do as a coach when one of your students has sustained a bump or a blow to the head or body and isn't acting right? Answer: Remove the student from play and look for signs and symptoms of a concussion. Question: In general, how long should the return to play process takes for a student to complete? Answer: A week or more. Question: Which of the following would indicate a medical emergency that requires activating EMS/calling 9-1-1? Answer: The student lost consciousness, is vomiting persistently and seems to become increasingly more confused and restless. Question: When can concussions occur? Answer: In any organized or unorganized recreational sport or activity. Question: Which of the following are symptoms of a concussion that a student may describe? Answer: The students states the lights hurt their eyes, they feel confused and complain of a headache. Question: If a student returns to sports while still recovering from a concussion, it may: Answer: Both A and B. Question: The student shall be removed from play and the students parents should be alerted about a possible concussion immediately following the game or practice- before allowing the child to go home. What other elements make up "HEADS UP" Action Plan? Answer: Both A and B. 4.6 stars on Google & Trustpilot (4+1000 reviews) 72973 documents were sold in the last 30 days Founded in 2010, the go-to place to buy study notes for 15 years now As a library, NLM provides access to scientific literature. Inclusion in an NLM database does not imply endorsement of, or agreement with, the contents by NLM or the National Institutes of Health. Learn more: PMC Disclaimer | PMC Copyright Notice. 2017 Dec 7;9(12):e1922. doi: 10.7759/cureus.1922. Sports-related concussion has emerged as a public health crisis due to increased diagnosis of the condition and increased participation in organized and recreational athletics worldwide. Under-recognition of concussions can lead to premature clearance for athletic participation, leaving athletes vulnerable to repeat injury and subsequent short- and long-term complications. There is overwhelming evidence that assessment and management of sports-related concussions should involve a multifaceted approach. A number of assessment criteria have been developed for this purpose. It is important to understand the available and emerging diagnostic testing modalities for sports-related concussions. The most commonly used tools for evaluating individuals with concussion are the Post-Concussion Symptom Scale (PCSS), Standard Assessment of Concussion (SAC), Standard Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT3), and the most recognized computerized neurocognitive test, the Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT). The strengths and limitations of each of these tools, and the Concussion Resolution Index (CRI), CogSport, and King-Devick tests were evaluated. Based on the data, it appears that the most sensitive and specific of these is the ImPACT test. Additionally, the King-Devick test is an effective adjunct due to its ability to test eye movements and brainstem function. Keywords: concussion, sports-related injury, impact, sac, scat3 An estimated 38 million children and adolescents participate in organized sports in the United States (US), and approximately 170 million adults participate in some form of athletic activity [1]. Up to 3.8 million traumatic brain injuries (TBI) occur in this country each year, and over 300,000 of these injuries occur due to sports and recreational activities [1]. These values are likely underestimated, as 50% of concussions may go unreported [2]. With approximately 5.3 million US residents living with TBI-related disabilities, including long-term cognitive and psychological deficits, the importance of effective prevention and management strategies is clear [3]. A concussion is a transient disturbance of brain function caused by head trauma, which involves complex neurometabolic processes [4]. Evidence suggests that the concussed brain is less responsive to normal neural activation and that engagement in cognitive and physical activities prior to complete recovery can cause prolonged brain dysfunction. Under-recognition of concussions can lead to premature clearance for athletic participation, leaving athletes vulnerable to repeat injuries. Catastrophic and long-term consequences of concussions, such as second impact syndrome (SIS) and chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE), though rare, have been observed to occur as a result of premature return-to-play and highlight the need for a greater understanding of the mechanisms of concussions and improvement of prevention strategies [5]. Different assessment criteria have been developed to assist in the early recognition of sports-related concussions. The most commonly used assessments for evaluating individuals with concussions are the Post-Concussion Symptom Scale (PCSS), Standard Assessment of Concussion (SAC), Standard Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT3), and the Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT) [6]. This article will discuss the strengths and limitations of each of these tools, as well as the Concussion Resolution Index (CRI), CogSport, and King-Devick (KD) tests. Symptom severity, neuropsychological function, and postural stability do not appear to be related or affected to the same degree after concussion [7]; therefore, the assessment and management should be multi-faceted. The evaluation includes a clinical exam, self-reported symptom checklist, postural assessment, and neurocognitive testing [8-10]. In particular, evaluation of cognitive functioning should include intellectual functioning, academic skills, attention and concentration, processing speed and learning, memory, psychomotor function, and emotional functioning [11]. To facilitate a comprehensive assessment of concussed athletes, several assessment batteries, such as SCAT3 and ImPACT, can be easily and rapidly administered over multiple testing sessions. Methodology Multiple literature searches were conducted with search criteria being an assessment of sports-related concussion. Subsequent searches were performed with the search criterion being the names of the different tests being analyzed and their efficacy (PCSS, SAC, SCAT3, ImPACT, CRI, CogSport, and KD tests). Twenty-four articles were identified, and their categorical and statistical data were analyzed. Post-Concussion Symptom Scale (PCSS) While new, sophisticated technologies and testing methods have been developed, symptom checklists and scales remain the standard instruments used by clinicians to evaluate concussions. They are employed as an objective tool to assess the various concussion-related symptoms and measure their severity over serial evaluations [7, 12]. One of the most commonly used symptom evaluations is the PCSS, which received endorsement by the International Symposium for Concussion in Sport, and the Graded Symptom Checklist (GSC), which is recommended by the National Athletic Trainer's Association [7, 13]. The PCSS includes a battery of concussion-related symptoms (including headache, nausea, vomiting) and a severity scale from 0 - 6 with 0 being none and 6 being severe [14]. It has a reported sensitivity of 40.81%, specificity of 79.31%, a positive predictive value of 62.50%, and a negative predictive value of 61.33% [15]. The limitations of PCSS include the intrinsic subjective nature of a self-reported questionnaire. In addition, some evidence suggests a wide range of variability on PCSS shown among concussed individuals [12]. Due to these limitations, PCSS and other symptoms scales should not be used in isolation. The Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC) The SAC is a five to 10-minute paper and pencil test. It is a neuropsychological assessment tool developed to identify the effects of mild traumatic brain injury on the sideline and does not require specific training in neuropsychology for the purposes of administration or interpretation. The test assesses orientation, immediate recall, concentration, and delayed recall [16]. Performance on each component is summed for a total possible score of 30. Performance decrements of one point or more are consistent with impaired cognitive functioning following concussion. Previous studies have supported the validity, accuracy, and reliability of this tool as a test for determining the presence of a concussion [6, 16]. In particular, the SAC has been shown to have a sensitivity of 80 - 94% and a specificity of 76 - 91% [17-18]. This test can be repeated over time to track recovery and is used to supplement other diagnostic assessments. This tool alone is insufficient to make return-to-play decisions. Less emphasis should be placed on the numerical SAC score and more on the use of each SAC component to evaluate neurocognition [6]. The SAC test reliably identified mild TBI symptoms for all children aged six years and older who presented to the pediatric emergency department [2]. Most college athletes will return to baseline performance on the SAC within 48 hours of injury [15]. The Standardized Concussion Assessment Tool (SCAT3) The SCAT3 combines aspects of several concussion tools, including the PCSS, into eight components designed to assess concussion symptoms, cognition, and neurological signs. Each of the eight components is scored and recorded [9]. The newest version, the SCAT3, is a product of the 2012 Zurich Conference and serves as a standardized tool for evaluating injured athletes for concussions on the sidelines. It can be used in athletes aged 13 years and older [19]. The test consists of the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), Maddocks score, symptom evaluation, cognitive evaluation using SAC, neck examination, balance examination, coordination examination, and a follow-up of the SAC delayed recall task. The SCAT3 is not meant to replace comprehensive neuropsychological testing. It should not be used as a stand-alone method to diagnose concussion, measure recovery, or make decisions about an athlete's readiness to return to competition after a concussion [20]. The Child SCAT3 was developed because children need different tools for symptom assessment and mental status testing; their balance and coordination are also different than older athletes [21]. The Child SCAT3 also includes the Glasgow coma scale, the Child Maddocks score, a child report, a parent report, cognitive assessment using the SAC, neck examination, balance examination using the Balance Error Scoring System (BESS) and tandem gait, coordination examination of the upper limbs, and the delayed recall portion of the SAC. Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT) ImPACT is a 20-25 minute computer-based assessment tool comprised of six modules, which produce four output scores, including verbal memory, reaction time, visual-motor speed, and visual-memory composites [22]. ImPACT collects demographic data, performs neuropsychological tests, and implements a post-concussion symptom scale. The newest version of ImPACT is administered through a web browser. It employs keyboard input on a choice reaction time instead of mouse-button input from the desktop version and has shown to yield fewer errors associated with left-right confusion. This ultimately causes fewer invalid results than previous versions [23]. The visual-motor speed (VMS) component of ImPACT is commonly used for determining visual-motor deficits and has been shown to be the most reliable of the ImPACT composite scores. In addition, the reaction time (RT) and visual memory (VIS) composite scores address visual processing and motor speed. These scores provide unique information ranging from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.65 to 0.86. Such test-retest coefficients are comparable to or higher than many other neuropsychological tests [25]. Similarly, Schatz, et al. found that concussed athletes assessed 36 hours, four days, and seven days post-injury performed worse on verbal memory tests, memory and reaction time indices, and processing speed composite scores, which ranged from 0.6